RSA Conference 2020

San Francisco | February 24 – 28 | Moscone Center

SESSION ID: CRYP-F01

Policy-Based Sanitizable Signatures

HUMAN ELEMENT

Kai Samelin* and Daniel Slamanig** (presented by David Pointcheval)

*TÜV Rheinland i-sec GmbH

**AIT Austrian Institute of Technology

#RSAC

Outline

- Digital Signatures
- Sanitizable Signatures
- Policy-Based Sanitizable Signatures
- Conclusions & Take Home





#RSAC

RSA[°]Conference2020

Digital Signatures

Digital Signatures . 0 Secret key Public key Signer

Modifications invalidate signature



Controlled Modifications of Signed Messages

- Modify signed messages without invalidating the signature?
 - But that's what we try to prevent?!
 - Can be useful if controlled!
- Controlled modifications
 - Signer determines *how* signed message can be altered
 - Think of implicitly signing all possible messages
- Control who is allowed to modify
 - Signer specifies *entity* allowed to perform modifications



Example: Medical Documents

Tokenization for research/accounting

• Removing exact diagnosis for sick leave

		Wilkes Pediatric Clinic
		wines regiantic clinic
irst Choice Care	Info@fccare.com www.fccare.com P: 901-854-5771 F: 901-854-5771 472 West Poplar Ave Suite 101 Collingelle _TB1 280+7	Taiself (2) Ster Nay, MD Jan H. Fortzer, MD Jan H. Fortzer, MD Jannier, F. Hortzer, MD Jannier, F. Hortzer, J. K. Physical 318-903-6347 fac: 318-903-6887 Straffen B. Romford
	Collierville, IN 38017	Visit Note
		Provider: M.D.
sit Note - Office Visit		Pattent: Sex: DOB: Age: Address: North Wilkesboro NC 28659 Pref. Ph
vider: ervising: MD forming: PA		Insurance(s): Medicaid EDS Corp. (PP)
ounter Date: Aug 17, 2018		PROBLEM LIST:
s and the second s		
e: Unreported/Refused to Report		
dress: Oakland TN 38060	Pref. Phone(C):	Allergy:
urance(s):		No Known Drug Allergies
rvell (PP)		
rvell (PP)		Vital Signs: Weights 24 the 9 or Report the 04 Z many 1 598
erred By:		Vital Signs: Weight: 34 lbs 8 oz Percentife: 94 Z-score: 1.588 Height: 34.5" Percentife: 36 Z-score: -0.335
erred By: rry Date: 08/06/2018		Vital Signs: Weight: 34 lbs 8 oz Percentile: 94 Z-score: 1.588 Height: 34.5° Percentile: 96 Z-score: -0.335 BMI: 20.38 Percentile: 98 Z-score: 2.195
rvell (PP) irred By: ary Date: 0806/2018 anlaints/HPI-		Vital Signa: Vital Signa
kerved By: Jury Date: 08/06/2018 uplaints/HP1:		Vital Signar: 14 hs. 8 or Percentile: 94 Z-score: 1.588 Weight: 34.5° Percentile: 36 Z-score: -0.335 BMII: 0.38 Percentile: 98 Z-score: 2.193 BSA: 0.28 Percentile: 98 Z-score: 2.193 IBA: 0.2 P HC: 19.2° Percentile: 67 Z-score: 0.446
ferred By: mry Date: 0806/2018 mplaints/HPI:		Vital Signs: 41 bs 8 or Percentile: 94 Z-score: 1.588 Height: 34.5° Percentile: 96 Z-score: 2.193 BM1: 20.8 Percentile: 96 Z-score: 2.193 BSA: 0.62 Temperature: 96.1 HC: 19.5° Percentile: 67 Z-score: 0.446 Chief Complaint: 57
ver(IP) vrve(Ip) Date:0800/2018 mphaints/HPI;		Vital Signs: Vital Signs: Weight: 34.8 % exp Percentile: 94 Z-score: 1.588 Height: 34.8 % Percentile: 96 Z-score: 2.133 BMI: 20.8 % Percentile: 98 Z-score: 2.193 BSA: 0.62 Temperature: 98.2 HC: 19.5 % Percentile: 67 Z-score: 0.446
ver(UPP) erred By: my Date: (8006/2018 pplaints/HPP: al Signs:		Vital Signs: Vital 4 bis 8 or Percentile: 94 Z-score: 1.588 Height: 34.5° Percentile: 36 Z-score: 2.193 BM1: 20.8 Percentile: 98 Z-score: 2.193 BSA: 0.62 Temperature: 9.2 P HC: 19.5° Percentile: 67 Z-score: 0.446 Chief Complaint: 67
vert (DP) erred By: nplaint/HP; d Signe: e: 10:03 AM		Vital Signs: 34 hbs 8 or Percentile: 94 Z-score: 1.588 Height: 34.5° Presentile: 96 Z-score: 2.158 BMI: 20.38 Presentile: 96 Z-score: 2.193 BSA: 0.62 Temperature: 9.5° HC: 19.5° Percentile: 67 Z-score: 0.446 Chief Complaint:
val (0P) erred By: my Date 0000/2018 al Signs: ei 10:03 AM gbt: 270 hs 2 oz		Vital Signs: Weight: 34.59 Percentile: 94 Z-score: 1.588 Height: 34.59 Percentile: 96 Z-score: 2.193 BN1: 20.38 Percentile: 98 Z-score: 2.193 IBA: 0.62 Temperature: 98 Z-score: 2.194 HC: 19.59 Percentile: 67 Z-score: 0.446 Chief Complaint: WCC: Concerns: no concerns.
red (DP) res 0.0809/2018 res 0.0809/2018 ISigns: res 10:03 AM ght: 720 lbs 2 oz ght: 6' lb: 36.63		Vital Signs: Weight: 34 bb 8 or Percentile: 94 Z-score: 1.588 Height: 34.5° Percentile: 36 Z-score: 2.193 BM 1: 00.82 Percentile: 98 Z-score: 2.193 BM 2: 06.2 Temperature: 98 Z-score: 2.194 HC: 19.5° Percentile: 67 Z-score: 0.446 Chief Complaint: WCC: Concerns: no concerns. Dict: Development:
verd (79) verved (8y) mplaints/HPI: stignes: set: 10:03 AM ight: 270 hs 2 oz fb: 6 f: 36.63		Vital Signa: Weight: 34.4 bs 8 or Percentile: 94 Z-score: 1.588 Height: 34.5° Percentile: 96 Z-score: 2.193 BSA: 0.63 Temperature 96.8 F WC: 19.5° Percentile: 67 Z-score: 0.446 Chief Complaint: WCC: Concerns: no concerns. Det: Development:



Re-signing after the fact might not be possible (availability, etc.)





Different Types of Schemes

Redactable Signatures

- Blacking out/Removal of designated parts by everyone

Sanitizable Signatures

Replacement of **designated** parts by *designated entity* Designated entity (=sanitizer) has its own key pair



RSA[°]Conference2020

Sanitizable Signatures



Sanitizable Signatures

Judge

Generate proof that signature from signer or sanitizer

Security Properties

- Unforgeability
 - Non-sanitizers cannot come up with valid signature for non-signed message
- Immutability
 - Sanitizer cannot come up with valid signature for a message not "derivable" from signed ones
- Privacy
 - No information about sanitized parts can be learned
- Signer/Sanitizer accountability
 - Signer/sanitizer cannot blame the other party for having produced a signature
- Transparancy
 - Freshly signed and sanitized signatures are indistinguishable

Construction Idea I/II

- Originally proposed in [ACMT, ESORICS'05] and rigorous security model in [BFFLP+, PKC'09]
- Generic construction from secure signatures and chameleon-hash functions in [BFFLP+, PKC'09]
- <u>Chameleon Hash [KT, NDSS'00]</u>: Collision-resistant hash keyed with (sk, pk)
 - Hashing: h ← CHash(pk,m; r)
 - Collision: sk allows for any *h*, *m*' to compute *r*' s.t.

CHash(pk,*m*; *r*) = CHash(pk, *m*';*r*')

Construction Idea II/II

- Simplified construction idea:
 - To sign $m = (m_1, ..., m_n)$
 - Use signature scheme to sign $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$, where

$$h_{i} = \begin{cases} CHash(pk, m_{i}; r_{i}) & if \ sanitizable \\ m_{i} & else \end{cases}$$

 As sanitizable signature provide signature on h; additionally include the randomness r_i of sanitizable parts

RSA[°]Conference2020

Policy-Based Sanitizable Signatures

Drawbacks of Existing Sanitizable Signatures

- Restricted in flexibility of specifying potential sanitizers
 - Conventional model just considers a single sanitizer specified at signing time
- Some existing works allow multiple sanitizers, but then lose accountability
 - Accountability is a central feature: sanitizers must be traceable

Achieve fine-grained sanitization control with full accountability?

Sanitizers have attributes: if attributes satisfy policy, then sanitizing works

Policy-Based Sanitizable Signatures (PB-SS)

- At signing time signer specifies an access-policy for sanitization and a sanitization group
- Sanitizers with their sanitizer key pair can join sanitization groups dynamically
 - Get then issued sanitization keys for attributes in the group
 - Sanitization works if sanitizer is in the group and attributes satisfy the policy
- Accountability: exact sanitizer can be identified

Achieves fine-grained sanitization control with full accountability!

Security of PB-SS

- Require same properties (but extended) as for sanitizable signatures plus
- Pseudonymity
 - Signature does not leak which party is accountable
- Proof-Soundness
 - Impossible to generate a proof for an adverserially chosen signature/message pair that points to different entities
- Traceability
 - Impossible to generate a verifying signature such that an honest signer cannot identify the accountable party

We also further strengthen (existing) notions whenever possible

Construction Idea: PB-SS

- Follows basically the idea outlined for sanitizable signatures
- Instead of using a chameleon hash we use a strengthened version of a policy-based chameleon hash [DSSS, NDSS'19]
 - Hash is computed with respect to a policy
 - Collisions can be found when policy is satisfied
 - Can be constructed from chameleon hashes with ephemeral trapdoors [CDKPSS, PKC'17] and CCA secure ciphertext-policy attribute-based encryption (CP-ABE) [BSW, Oakland'07]
- Achieving accountability requires some additional technicalities
 - Use of a non-interactive zero-knowledge proof (NIZK) for an OR-language

Potential Application of PB-SS

- Applicable to all existing applications
- A new application can be *redactable blockchains*
 - Introduced by [AMVA, EuroS&P'17]
 - [DSSS, NDSS'19] introduce the use of PBCH to hash transactions in blockchains
 - Update/rewrite transactions by computing collisions in the PBCH
 - Fine-grained approach by using policies
 - Use of PB-SS instead of PBCH to get additional properties such as accountability

RSA[°]Conference2020

Conclusions and Take Home

#RSAC

Conclusions & Take Home

- Sanitizable signatures are a tool to realize controlled modifications of signed messages
- Existing schemes are very limited in their expressiveness
- We introduce the notion of policy-based sanitizable signatures
 - Fine-grained sanitization control via policies
- We present a strong security model and a provable secure practical construction

