## Solutions to Homework 11

Lecturer: Daniel Slamanig, TA: Karen Klein

## 1. Key Exchange

- [10.4 in book, 2nd edition] Consider the following key-exchange protocol:
  - Alice chooses uniform  $k, r \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , and sends  $s := k \oplus r$  to Bob.
  - Bob chooses uniform  $t \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , and sends  $u := s \oplus t$  to Alice.
  - Alice computes  $w := u \oplus r$  and sends w to Bob.
  - Alice outputs k and Bob outputs  $w \oplus t$ .

Show that Alice and Bob output the same key. Analyze the security of the scheme (i.e., either prove its security or show a concrete attack).

**Solution:** First, we show that Alice and Bob output the same key k:

$$w \oplus t = u \oplus r \oplus t = s \oplus t \oplus r \oplus t = s \oplus r = k \oplus r \oplus r = k.$$

In the key exchange security game  $\widehat{\mathsf{KE}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{\mathsf{eav}}$  an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  gets to see the transcript trans = (s, u, w) and a key  $k^*$  where  $k^*$  either is the real key k (if b = 0) or a uniformly random string in  $\{0,1\}^n$  (if b = 1). In the end of the game,  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a bit  $b^*$  and he wins the game if  $b^* = b$ . The key exchange protocol  $\Pi$  is called secure in the presence of an eavesdropper, if for every PPT adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  there exists a negligible function negl such that

$$\Pr[b^* = b] \le \frac{1}{2} + \operatorname{negl}(n).$$

The above protocol is clearly not secure. To see this, note that

$$s\oplus u\oplus w=(k\oplus r)\oplus (k\oplus r\oplus t)\oplus (k\oplus r\oplus t\oplus r)=k.$$

Thus, we construct an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  as follows: First,  $\mathcal{A}$  computes  $k' = s \oplus u \oplus w$ . Then he outputs  $b^* = 0$  if  $k^* = k'$ , and  $b^* = 1$  else. Obviously,  $\mathcal{A}$  wins the game except for the case where b = 1 and the uniformly random key  $k^*$  happens to coincide with the real key k. Since  $\Pr[k^* = k|b = 1] = \frac{1}{2^n}$ , we can compute  $\mathcal{A}$ 's success probability as  $\Pr[b^* = b] = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$  which is clearly larger than  $\frac{1}{2} + \operatorname{negl}(n)$  for any negligible function  $\operatorname{negl}(n)$ .

- 2. Textbook RSA encryption
  - Prove the correctness of the textbook RSA encryption algorithm as introduced in the lecture, i.e., show that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $((d, N), (e, N)) \leftarrow \mathsf{KeyGen}(1^n)$  any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  it holds that  $(m^e)^d \equiv m \pmod{N}$ .

**Solution:** By the chinese remainder theorem, we know that  $f : \mathbb{Z}_N \to \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ ,  $f(x) = ([x \mod p], [x \mod q])$  is a group isomorphism. It is easy to show, that f also preserves the multiplicative structure<sup>1</sup>: Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ , then

 $f(xy) = ([xy \mod p], [xy \mod q]) = ([[x \mod p] \cdot [y \mod p] \mod p], [[x \mod q] \cdot [y \mod q] \mod q])$  $= ([x \mod p], [x \mod q]) \cdot ([y \mod p], [y \mod q]) = f(x) \cdot f(y).$ 

For  $x_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it holds  $x_p^i = x_p^{i \mod (p-1)} \mod p$  since  $|\mathbb{Z}_p^*| = p-1$ . On the other hand, also  $0^i = 0 = 0^{i \mod (p-1)} \mod p$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus (p-1)\mathbb{Z}^2$  and in particular for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that  $gcd(i, \varphi(N)) = 1$ , so it holds  $x_p^i = x_p^{i \mod (p-1)} \mod p$  for all  $x_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $gcd(i, \varphi(N)) = 1$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  it follows  $x^i = x_p^{i \mod (p-1)} = x_p^{i \mod k(p-1)} \mod p$  for all  $x_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Similar relations hold in  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $x_p = [x \mod p], x_q = [x \mod q]$ , and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $gcd(i, \varphi(N)) = 1$  it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{i} &= f^{-1}(f(x^{i})) = f^{-1}(f(x)^{i}) = f^{-1}([x_{p}^{i} \mod p], [x_{q}^{i} \mod q]) = \\ f^{-1}([x_{p}^{i} \mod (p-1)(q-1) \mod p], [x_{q}^{i} \mod (p-1)(q-1) \mod q]) \\ &= f^{-1}(f(x)^{i \mod \varphi(N)}) = x^{i \mod \varphi(N)} \mod N. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove correctness of textbook RSA. The algorithm KeyGen picks a uniformly random element e in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\varphi(N)}^*$ , computes its inverse  $d := e^{-1} \mod \varphi(N)$  and outputs (sk, pk) = ((d, N), (e, N)). In particular, we have  $ed = de = 1 \mod \varphi(N)$ . This implies for any message  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ :

$$\mathsf{Dec}(\mathsf{Enc}(m, pk), sk) = \mathsf{Dec}([m^e \mod N]), sk) = [[m^e \mod N]^d \mod N] = [(m^e)^d \mod N]$$
$$= [m^{ed} \mod N] = [m^{[ed \mod \varphi(N)]} \mod N] = [m^1 \mod N] = m.$$

• Show that factoring an RSA integer N = pq is equivalent to computing the order  $\varphi(N)$  of the group  $\mathbb{Z}_N^*$ . Use this result to show that an efficient algorithm for factoring yields an efficient algorithm for solving RSA.

**Solution:** For an RSA modulus N = pq it holds  $\varphi(N) = (p-1)(q-1) = pq-p-q+1 = N-p-q+1$ . Thus, any PPT algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  for factoring an RSA integer N trivially leads to a PPT algorithm  $\mathcal{A}'$  for computing  $\varphi(N)$  and  $\mathcal{A}'$  has the same success probability as  $\mathcal{A}$ . On the other hand, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a PPT algorithm for computing  $\varphi(N)$  for an RSA integer N. Then we define an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}'$  for factoring as follows: Given an RSA modulus N, first  $\mathcal{A}'$  runs  $\mathcal{A}$  on N to obtain an integer  $\nu$ . An integer  $\pi$  is a nontrivial factor of N, i.e.,  $\pi = p$  or  $\pi = q$ , if and only if  $\pi$  is a solution to  $\varphi(N) = N - \pi - N/\pi + 1$ . Multiplying this equation by  $\pi$  leads to the quadratic equation  $\pi^2 - (N - \varphi(N) + 1)\pi + N = 0$  with the two solutions  $\pi = p$  and  $\pi = q$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{A}'$  proceeds by solving the quadratic equation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note, for the restriction  $f|_{\mathbb{Z}_N^*}$  this is already known by the chinese remainder theorem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Note, for  $i \in (p-1)\mathbb{Z}$  it holds  $[i \mod (p-1)] = 0$  and hence  $0^i = 0 \neq 1 = 0^0 = 0^{i \mod (p-1)}$ . On the other hand, for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus (p-1)\mathbb{Z}$  we have  $[i \mod (p-1)] > 0$  and the equality is satisfied.

 $\pi^2 - (N - \nu + 1)\pi + N = 0$  in the variable  $\pi$  and outputs its two solutions.  $\mathcal{A}'$  succeeds in factoring N whenever  $\mathcal{A}$  succeeds in computing  $\varphi(N)$ . This proves equivalence of factoring N = pq and computing  $\varphi(N)$ .

We now use this result to show that any efficient algorithm for factoring yields an efficient algorithm for solving RSA. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an efficient algorithm for factoring and (N, e, y) an RSA instance. We construct an efficient algorithm for RSA as follows: First,  $\mathcal{A}'$  queries  $\mathcal{A}$  on N and receives two integers  $\pi, \pi'$ . If  $\pi\pi' = N$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  computes  $\varphi(N)$  and computes  $d := e^{-1} \mod \varphi(N)$ . It outputs  $x := y^d \mod N$ . Otherwise,  $\mathcal{A}'$  outputs a uniform  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ . The success probability of  $\mathcal{A}'$  can thus be lowerbounded by the success probability of  $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$\Pr[\mathsf{RSA} - \mathsf{Inv}_{\mathcal{A}',\mathsf{GenRSA}}(n) = 1] \ge \Pr[\mathsf{Factoring}_{\mathcal{A},\mathsf{GenModulus}}(n)].$$

## 3. IND-CPA secure encryption in the ROM

• [11.19 in book, 2nd edition] Say three users have RSA public keys  $(3, N_1)$ ,  $(3, N_2)$ , and  $(3, N_3)$  (i.e., they all use e = 3), with  $N_1 < N_2 < N_3$ . Consider the following method for sending the same message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^{\ell}$  to each of these parties: choose a uniform  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{N_1}^*$ , and send to everyone the same ciphertext

$$(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) := (r^3 \mod N_1, r^3 \mod N_2, r^3 \mod N_3, H(r) \oplus m)$$

where  $H : \mathbb{Z}_{N_1}^* \to \{0, 1\}^{\ell}$ . Assume  $\ell \gg n$ .

- Show that this is not IND-CPA-secure, and an adversary can recover m from the ciphertext even when H is modeled as a random oracle (Hint: Chinese remainder theorem).

**Solution:** If  $N_1, N_2, N_3$  are not pairwise coprime, then there are  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, i \neq j$ such that  $gcd(N_i, N_j)$  is a nontrivial factor of  $N_i$ , hence the adversary can factor  $N_i$  and solve RSA as shown in exercise 2. Thus, in this case it is easy to recover r from  $c_i$ . The adversary then queries the random oracle on input r and computes  $m = c_4 \oplus H(r)$ . Now assume  $N_1, N_2, N_3$  are pairwise coprime. Then by the Chinese remainder theorem it holds  $Z_{N_1N_2N_3}^* \simeq Z_{N_1}^* \times Z_{N_2}^* \times Z_{N_3}^*$  where the isomorphism is given as  $f(x) = ([x \mod N_1], [x \mod N_2], [x \mod N_3])$  and can be efficiently inverted. Thus, an adversary can compute  $[r^3 \mod N_1N_2N_3] = f^{-1}(c_1, c_2, c_3)$ . Since  $r \in$  $\mathbb{Z}_{N_1}^*$ , we have  $0 < r < N_1$ , which implies  $0 < r^3 < N_1^3 < N_1N_2N_3$  and, hence,  $[r^3 \mod N_1N_2N_3] = r^3$  is a cube in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . This implies, that an adversary can recover r by simply computing the cube root of  $[r^3 \mod N_1N_2N_3]$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which can be done efficiently.

- Show a simple way to fix this and get a IND-CPA-secure method that transmits a ciphertext of length  $3\ell + \mathcal{O}(n)$  (you do not need to provide a formal proof of IND-CPA security).

**Solution:** An easy way to fix this is to choose three independent values  $r_1, r_2, r_3 \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{N_1}^*$  and send the ciphertext

$$(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6) := \begin{pmatrix} [r_1^3 \mod N_1], & [r_2^3 \mod N_2], & [r_3^3 \mod N_3], \\ H(r_1) \oplus m, & H(r_2) \oplus m, & H(r_3) \oplus m \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Show a better approach that is still IND-CPA-secure but with a ciphertext of length  $\ell + \mathcal{O}(n)$  (you do not need to provide a formal proof of IND-CPA security).

**Solution:** An easy approach would be to simply use a larger exponent e, e.g., a uniformly random e. Although there is no explicit attack known, there doesn't seem to be a simple proof from RSA either. Thus, we will follow a different approach based on hybrid encryption: Let (Enc, Dec) be a CPA-secure private-key encryption scheme and  $H: \mathbb{Z}_{N_1}^* \to \{0, 1\}^n$  a random oracle. To send the message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^{\ell}$ , choose four independent values  $r_1, r_2, r_3 \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{N_1}^*$ , and  $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ , and send the ciphertext

$$(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7) := \begin{pmatrix} [r_1^3 \mod N_1], & [r_2^3 \mod N_2], & [r_3^3 \mod N_3], \\ H(r_1) \oplus k, & H(r_2) \oplus k, & H(r_3) \oplus k, & \mathsf{Enc}_k(m) \end{pmatrix}.$$